arXiv:1610.01760v1 [math.LO] 6 Oct 2016

PROBLEMS 85 AND 87 OF BIRKHOFF'S *LATTICE THEORY*

JONATHAN DAVID FARLEY AND DOMINIC VAN DER ZYPEN

Abstract. We solve problems 85 and 87 from Birkhoff's book *Lattice Theory* [1].

1. Introduction

A *partially ordered set* (or *poset* for short) is a set X with a binary relation $\leq$ that is reflexive, transitive, and anti-symmetric (i.e., $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$). Often, a poset is denoted by $(X, \leq)$. A subset $D \subseteq X$ is called a *down-set* if it is "closed under going down", that is $d \in D, x \in X, x \leq d$ jointly imply $x \in D$. A special case of a down-set is the set

$$\downarrow_P x = \{y \in X : y \leq x\}$$

for $x \in X$. (Sometimes we just write $\downarrow x$ if the poset P is clear from the context.) Down-sets of this form are called *principal*. If $S \subseteq X$ we say S has a *smallest element* $s_0 \in S$ if $s_0 \leq s$ for all $s \in S$. Note that anti-symmetry of $\leq$ implies that a smallest element is unique (if it exists at all!). Similarly, we define a largest element. Moreover, we set

$$S^u = \{x \in X : x \geq s \text{ for all } s \in S\}$$

to be the *set of upper bounds* of S. The set of lower bounds S^ℓ is defined analogously.

We say that a subset $S \subseteq X$ of a poset $(X, \leq)$ has an *infimum* or *largest lower bound* if

(1) $S^\ell \neq \emptyset$, and
(2) S^ℓ has a largest element.

Again, an infimum (if it exists) is unique by anti-symmetry of the ordering relation, and it is denoted by $\inf(S)$ or $\bigwedge_X S$. The dual notion (everything taken "upside down" in the poset) is called *supremum* and is denoted by $\sup(S)$ or $\bigvee_X S$. The infimum of the empty set is defined to be the largest element of X if it has one, and the supremum is the smallest element of X.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A18, 06B23.
Key words and phrases. Lattice theory, interval topology, breadth, Birkhoff.

A poset $(X, \leq)$ in which infima and suprema exist for all $S \subseteq X$ is called a *complete lattice*. A *lattice* has suprema and infima for finite non-empty subsets. If $(X, \leq)$ is a poset and $x, y \in X$ we use the following notation

$$x \vee y := \bigvee_X \{x, y\},$$

and $x \wedge y$ is defined analogously. To emphasize the binary operations $\vee, \wedge$, a lattice $(L, \leq)$ is sometimes written as $(L, \vee, \wedge)$. A lattice is *distributive* if for all $x, y, z \in L$ we have

$$x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z).$$

Definition 1.1. *Given a poset $(X, \leq)$, the* interval topology $\tau_i(X)$ *is given by the subbase*

$$\mathcal{S} = \{X \setminus (\downarrow x) : x \in X\} \cup \{X \setminus (\uparrow x) : x \in X\}.$$

Finally we give the notion of breadth of a lattice.

Definition 1.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer. For a complete lattice $(L, \leq)$ we say that it has* breadth $\leq n$ *if for any finite set F there is $A \subseteq F$ with $|A| \leq n$ such that* $\inf(A) = \inf(F)$. *We say L has* finite breadth *if there is a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that L has breadth $\leq n$. Otherwise we say that L has infinite breadth.*

2. Problem 85

Here is the statement of this problem:

> Is every complete morphism (i.e., for arbitrary joins and meets) of complete lattices continuous with respect to star-convergence? in the interval topology?

For the notion of star-convergence, we have to introduce some further notions. We start with the answer to the second part of the question, which is about the interval topology.

2.1. **Interval topology.**

Proposition 2.1. *A complete homomorphism between complete lattices is continuous in the interval topology.*

Proof. Let L and M be complete lattices and let $f : L \to M$ be a complete lattice homomorphism. Then f is order-preserving. Let $x, y \in M$ be such that $x \leq y$. Either $f^{-1}([x, y])$ is empty or else take $a = \inf(f^{-1}([x, y]))$ and $b = \sup(f^{-1}([x, y]))$. Then $a \leq b$. Then

$$f(a) = f(\inf(f^{-1}([x, y]))) = \inf(f(f^{-1}([x, y]))) \geq x,$$

and similarly

$$f(b) = f(\sup(f^{-1}([x,y]))) = \sup(f(f^{-1}([x,y]))) \leq y,$$

and $f(a) \leq f(b)$ so $x \leq f(a) \leq f(b) \leq y$ and hence $f(a), f(b)$ are in $[x,y]$.

Let $c \in [a,b]$. Then $x \leq f(a) \leq f(c) \leq f(b) \leq y$, so $[a,b]$ is a subset of $f^{-1}([x,y])$. But $f^{-1}([x,y])$ is a subset of $[a,b]$. Thus $f^{-1}([x,y]) = [a,b]$.

Hence f^{-1} takes subbasic closed sets to subbasic closed sets or the empty set. Therefore f is continuous when L and M have the interval topology. □

2.2. **Star-convergence.** For this part of the question we need the notion of order convergence expressed with filters (Birkhoff uses nets, and filters offer an equivalent, but more concise approach to convergence [3]).

Let $(P, \leq)$ be a poset. By a *set filter* $\mathcal{F}$ on P we mean a collection of subsets of P such that:

- $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$;
- $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$;
- $U \in \mathcal{F}$, $U' \subseteq P$ and $U' \supseteq U$ implies $U' \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a set filter, then we set $\mathcal{F}^u = \bigcup\{F^u : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and define $\mathcal{F}^\ell$ similarly. For $x \in P$ and $\mathcal{F}$ a set filter on P we write

$$\mathcal{F} \to x \text{ iff } \bigwedge \mathcal{F}^u = x = \bigvee \mathcal{F}^\ell$$

and say $\mathcal{F}$ *order-converges* to x.

If $\mathcal{B}$ is a collection of subsets of P such that

- $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$,
- for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is $C \in \mathcal{B}$ with $C \subseteq A \cap B$,

then we call $\mathcal{B}$ a *filter base*. The *filter generated by* $\mathcal{B}$ is the collection of sets that contain some member of $\mathcal{B}$.

If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ are filters on P we say that $\mathcal{G}$ is a *super-filter* of $\mathcal{F}$.

Finally, we say that a filter $\mathcal{F}$ *star-converges* to $x \in P$ if for every super-filter $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ there is a super-filter $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}'$ such that $\mathcal{F} \to x$.

If X, Y are sets and $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X then it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{B}_f := \{f(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a filter base in Y. We define $f(\mathcal{F})$ to be the filter generated by $\mathcal{B}_f$.

The positive answer to the star-convergence part of question 85 follows from the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.2. *Let L, M be complete lattices and let $f : L \to M$ be a complete lattice homomorphism. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on L and $x \in L$ such that $\mathcal{F} \to x$. Then $f(\mathcal{F}) \to f(x)$.*

Proof. We prove that $\bigwedge_M f(\mathcal{F})^u = f(x)$.

The tool we use is Fact 1.1(1) from [2], which states that

$$x \in \mathcal{F}^u \Leftrightarrow \downarrow x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

So assuming $\mathcal{F} \to x$ in the lattice L, we get $\downarrow_L x \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore

$$f(\downarrow_L x) \in \mathcal{B}_f.$$

Since f is order-preserving, we get

$$\downarrow_M f(x) \supseteq f(\downarrow_L x),$$

which implies $\downarrow_M f(x) \in f(\mathcal{F})$ because $\mathcal{B}_f$ is a filter base for $f(\mathcal{F})$. Using the other direction of the equivalence stated above, we get $\bigwedge(f(\mathcal{F}))^u = f(x)$.

Similarly we prove that $\bigvee(f(\mathcal{F}))^\ell = f(x)$, which implies that $f(\mathcal{F}) \to f(x)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $\mathcal{G} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ are filters on a set X and $f : X \to Y$ is any map, then $f(\mathcal{G}) \supseteq f(\mathcal{F})$.*

3. Problem 87

Here is the statement of this problem:

> Can a lattice of infinite breadth be a Hausdorff lattice in its interval topology?

We will show that 2^ω is such an example. (We order $2 = \{0, 1\}$ by $0 < 1$ and set 2^ω to be the set of all functions $f : \omega \to 2$, ordered pointwise.)

First, we look at the interval topology of 2^ω.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(P_k)_{k\in K}$ be a family of posets. The interval topology $\tau_i = \tau_i(\prod_{k\in K} P_k))$ on $P = \prod_{k\in K} P_k$ equals the product topology τ_p of the topological spaces $(P_k, \tau_i(P_k))$.*

Proof. Take a subbasic element of $U \in \tau_i$ and show that it is a member of τ_p. Without loss of generality we let $U = P \setminus (\uparrow (x_k)_{k\in K})$ where $x_k \in P_k$. Note that $\uparrow (x_k)_{k\in K}$ is a product of closed sets in the spaces $(P_k, \tau_i(P_k))$, therefore it is closed in the product topology, so $U \in \tau_p$.

Conversely, for some $j \in K$ let $U = \pi_j^{-1}(U_j)$ be subbasic in τ_p where $\pi_j : P \to P_j$ is the projection map and $U_j = P_j \setminus \uparrow x^*$ for some $x^* \in P_j$. Then

$$U = \bigcup \{P \setminus (\uparrow (z_k)_{k\in K}) : (z_k)_{k\in K} \in P \text{ and } z_j = x^*\}.$$

So $U \in \tau_i$. □

Corollary 3.2. *The interval topology on 2^ω is Hausdorff.*

Proof. The lemma shows that the interval topology is just the product topology of the (discrete) Hausdorff topology on $2 = \{0, 1\}$, and the product topology of Hausdorff spaces is always Hausdorff. □

Lemma 3.3. *The complete lattice 2^ω has infinite breadth.*

Proof. For $m \in \omega$ we let $e_m : \omega \to 2 = \{0, 1\}$ be the function where $e_m(m) = 0$ and $e_m(k) = 1$ for $m \neq k$.

In order to show that for any positive $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the complete lattice 2^ω does not have breadth $\leq n$, we consider the finite set

$$F = \{e_0, \ldots, e_n\}.$$

So $\inf(F) \in 2^\omega$ is the function $r : \omega \to 2$ such that $r(k) = 0$ for $k \leq n$ and $r(k) = 1$ otherwise.

Note that F has $n+1$ elements, and that for no subset of $A \subseteq F$ with $A \neq F$ do we have $\inf(A) = \inf(F)$. □

So corollary 3.2 and lemma 3.3 answer question 87.

References

[1] G. Birkhoff, **Lattice Theory**, third edition, p. 253.

[2] D. van der Zypen, *Order convergence and compactness*, Cah. Topol. Geom. Diff. Cat. (2004), 45(4), 297–300.

[3] `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Net_(mathematics)#Relation_to_filters`

Morgan State University, Baltimore MD, United States of America

E-mail address: `jonathan.farley@morgan.edu`

Federal Office of Social Insurance, CH-3003 Bern, Switzerland

E-mail address: `dominic.zypen@gmail.com`